An Adult Tracheocele with No Predisposing Factor
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ABSTRACT

Tracheoceles are rare, and usually, they have been described as incidental findings while evaluating patients for other problems. Our patient complained of a mass located in the right supraclavicular region that got larger on coughing and straining; otherwise, he was asymptomatic. His history did not reveal any predisposing factors. Computed tomography showed an air-filled 3×2.5×2 cm mass at the level of the T2–4 vertebrae. Surgical exploration showed an air-filled mass located between the common carotid artery and trachea, communicating with the tracheal lumen via a narrow tract attached to the posterior wall of the trachea. The mass was completely resected, and the defect in the posterior wall was repaired. A literature search revealed only one tracheocele case without any predisposing factors, and our case is a new one. It is different from other tracheocele reports considering the origin side, type, and level of the lesion.
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Introduction

Tracheoceles have rarely been described. Some have been described as incidental findings while evaluating patients for other problems such as carcinoma of the larynx, in association with trachiectasis, as a complication of tracheostomy, or following the development of pneumomediastinum after a difficult endotracheal intubation (1-4). Mathur et al. (5) reported a patient with a large tracheocele presenting in the neck with no predisposing factor, which was similar to that seen in our patient (5). The main symptoms of tracheoceles are cough and purulent expectoration. The presence of symptoms is an indication for surgery. The suggested surgical approach is resecting the mass and tract communicating with the trachea and suturing the tracheal defect.

Case Report

A 43-year-old male presented with right-sided swelling in the neck. There was no stridor, hoarseness, or dysphagia. He had no predisposing factor for a tracheocele, such as trumpet playing, glass blowing, intubation history, tracheostomy, pulmonary disease, or trauma to the neck. The patient was informed about the surgery, and written consent was obtained. His physical examination revealed a swelling in the right supraclavicular region, which increased in size during the Valsalva maneuver. Laryngeal endoscopy revealed normal findings. Computed tomography (CT) of the neck revealed a unilocular air-filled cavity measuring 3×2.5×2 cm at the level of the T2–4 vertebrae on the right posterolateral side of the trachea, which displaced the trachea to the left. The CT images showed continuity between the trachea and the lesion. No communication with the laryngeal ventricle was observed (Figure 1). Posteroanterior X-rays were taken with and without the Valsalva maneuver, and a unilocular cavity corresponding to the one seen on performing CT was found.
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Preoperative laboratory examination results were normal. The lesion was approached via a horizontal supraclavicular incision, and the region between the trachea and the right common carotid artery was explored. The air-filled mass was oval, transilluminating, and did not contain any fluid. During surgery, a communication between the mass and the fibroelastic portion of the posterolateral wall of the eighth and ninth tracheal cartilages was found (Figures 2–4). The mass laterally displaced the common carotid artery and internal jugular vein. The trachea was widened and compressed by the lesion (Figure 2). The mass was dissected from the soft tissues and the wall of the trachea and was resected in one piece, including the tract communicating with the trachea (Figure 5). The tracheal defect was closed with 3.0 vicryl sutures (Figure 5). No communication with other parts of the trachea or larynx was seen. Tracheostomy was not performed. The postop-

Figure 1. Preoperative CT image of our patient showing communication between the trachea and the tracheocele (small white arrow), esophagus (medium white arrow), tracheocele sac (big white arrow), right common carotid artery (small grey arrow), trachea (medium grey arrow), and internal jugular vein (big grey arrow)

Figure 2. Intraoperative image showing communication between the trachea and the tracheocele (small arrow), wide shallow trachea (medium arrow), and sac (big arrow)

Figure 3. Intraoperative image showing the strap muscles (small arrow), communication between the trachea and tracheocele (medium arrow), and sternocleidomastoid muscle (big arrow)

Figure 4. Intraoperative image showing the strap muscles (small arrow), communication between the trachea and the tracheocele (medium arrow), and sternocleidomastoid muscle (big arrow)

Figure 5. Intraoperative image showing the sternocleidomastoid muscle (small arrow), communication between the trachea and the tracheocele (medium arrow), and sac (big arrow)
In our patient, the sac was located between the eighth and ninth tracheal cartilages, while in the patient of Mathur et al. (5) it was between the ninth and tenth cartilages. We dissected the sac from the soft tissues and wall of the trachea and resected it in one piece, including the communication with the trachea. Unlike Mathur et al., we did not perform tracheostomy. Berlucchi et al. (10) performed brushing of the tracheal diverticulum associated with the application of fibrin glue into the pouch using an endoscopic approach in a pediatric patient who complained of recurrent pneumonitis episodes. This approach seems to be appropriate for small masses of the tracheocele, on which surfaces can be accessed for brushing and applying the fibrin glue. Our patient was not appropriate for such an approach because of the measurements of the sac (10).

Conclusion

We demonstrated the relationship between the rare case of the tracheocele and trachea by intraoperative images. We think that the described relationship and accumulation of these cases in the literature will shed light on planning treatment in these patients in the future.
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